Mischief of Separation.

SERMON

Preached at

Guild-Hall CHAPPEL,

May II, M DC LXXX. Being the First Sunday
in EATESR. TERM,

Before the

Lord Mayor, &c.

By Edw. Stilling fleet, D. D. Dean of St. Paul's, and Chaplain in Ordinary to His Majesty.

The Second Edition.

Dublin, Printed by Benjamin Took and John Crook, Printers to the Kings most Excellent Majestie; and are to be sold by Mary Crook at His Majesties Printing-house in Skinner-row, 1680.

Clayton Mayor:

Martis quarto die Maij 1680. Annog; Regis Caroli secundi, Angliæ, &c. xxxii.

His Court doth earnestly desire the Reverend Dr. Stilling fleet, Dean of St. Pauls, to Print his Sermon Preached at the Guild-Hall Chappel on Sunday Morning last, with what further he had prepared to deliver at that time.

Wagstaff.

SR! Robert Clayton

Lord Mayor of the City of LONDON.

My Lord,



Nobedience to Tour Lordships Order I now present to Tour hands, not only the Sermon Tou lately heard, but those Additions, which the straits of time would not then permit me to deliver. In all which, I was so far from intending to stir up the Magistrates and Judges to a Persecution of Dissenters, as some ill men have reported, that my only design

was to prevent any occasion of it, by finding out a certain foundation for a lasting Union among our selves. Which is impossible to be attained, till men are conviuced of the Evil and Danger of the present Separation; it being carried on by such Principles as not only overthrow the present Constitution of our Church, but any other what soever. For, if it be lawfull to separate on a pretence of greater Purity, where there is an Agreement in Doctrine, and the substantial parts of Worship, as is acknowledged in our Case; then a bare difference of opinion as to some circumstances of Wor-Ship and the best constitution of Churches will be sufficient ground to break Communion and to set up new Churches: Which considering the great variety of mens fancies about these matters, is to make an infinite Divisibility in Churches, without any possible stop to farther Separation. But, if after themselves are pleased with condescensions to their own minds, any think it fit that others should be tied up, notwithstanding their distatisfaction; the world will judge it too great partiality in them to think that none ought to separate but themselves, and that the same Reason will hold against tlemselves in the judgement of others; it thereby appearing, that it is not Uniformity they diflike, but that they do not prescribe the Terms of it.

But, my Lord, I intend not to argue the Case of Separation here, (which is at large done in the following Discourse) but only to shew, how necessary it was in order to the laying a Foundation for Peace and Unity, to have this

The Epistle Dedicatory.

matter throughly discussed. And if once the People be brought to understand and practise their duty as to Communion with our Churches, other Dif-

ficulties which obstruct our Union will be more easily removed.

I have endeavoured to pursue my design in a way suitable to the nature of it, without sharp and provoking reflections on the Persons of any; which often set Friends at distance, but never reconciled or convinced Adversaries. However, I must expect the Censures of such who either make our Divisions, or make use of them for their own ends: but I am contented to be made a sacrifice, if thereby I might close up the Breaches among us.

God Almighty bless this great City and Tour Lordships care in the Government of it; and grant that in this our day, we may yet know the things that belong to our Peace, and to the Preservation of the true

Protestant Religion among us.

I am,

my Lorde

Your Lordships most faithful and obedient Servant,

Edward Stillingsleet.

PHIL.

and

PHIL. 111. 16.

Nevertheless, whereto we have already attained, let us malk by the same rule, let us mind the same things.

Lithough the Christian Religion doth lay the greatest obligations on mankind to Peace and Unity, by the strictest commands, the highest examples, and the most prevailing arguments; yet so much have the passions and interests of men overswai'd the sense of their duty; that as nothing ought to be more in our wishes, so nothing seems more remote from our bopes, than the universal Peace of the Christian World. Not that there is any impossibility in the thing, or any

confiderable difficulty, if all men were fuch Christians as they ought to be; but as long as men pursue their several factions and designs under the colour and pretence of zeal for Religion; if they did not find Names and parties ready framed, that were fultable to their ends, the difference of their deligns would make them. So that till mens corruptions are mortified, and their pallions subdued to a greater degree than the World hath yet found them, it is in vain to expect a flate of peace and tranquillity in the Church. We need not go far from home for a furnicient evidence of this; for although our differences are fuch as the wifer Protestants abroad not only condemn but wonder at them; yet it hath hitherto puzzled the wifelt perfons among us to find out ways to compose them; not so much from the distance of mens opinions and practices, as the strength of their prejudices and inclinations. Judg. 5. What those divisions of Reuben of old were, which caused such thoughts and searchings 15. 15. of heart, we neither well understand, nor doth it much concern us: but the continuance, if not the widening, of these unhappy breaches among our selves do give just cause for many sad reflections. When neither the miseries we have felt, nor the calamities we fear; neither the terrible judgments of God upon us, nor the unexpected deliverances vouchsafed to us, nor the common danger we are yet in, have abated mens heats, or allayed their passions, or made them more willing to unite with our established Church and Religion. But instead of that, some rather stand at a greater diffance if not defiance, and feem to entertain themselves with hopes of new revolutions; others raife fresh calumnies and reproaches, as well as revive and spread abroad old ones; as though their buliness were to make our breaches wider, and to exasperate mens spirits against each other; at such a time, when Reason and common security, and above all our Religion obligeth us to follow after the things that make for peace, and things where with one may edifie another; and not fuch as tend to our mutual deftruction; as most certainly our divisions and animosities do. Yet all Rom 14. parties pretend to a zeal for Peace, fo they may have it in their own way? by which 19. it appears that it is not Peace they aim at but Victory; nor Unity to much as ha-

ving their own wills. Those of the Roman Chareb make great boasts of their Unity

and the effectual means they have to preferve it; but God deliver us from fuch cruel wayes of Peace, and fuch destructive means of Unity as Treachery and Affassinations, and an Inquifition. Their feet are frift to fled blood, destruction and mifery are in their mayes; and the may of peace they have not known. But it were happy for us, if all those who agree in renouncing the Errors and Corruptions of the Roman Church, could as easily join together in the great duties of our common Religion, that is, in our prayers, and Praises, and Sacraments, and all solemn acts of Divine worship. For this would not only take off the reproach of our Adversaries, who continually upbraid us with our Schisms and Separations, but it would mightily tend to abate mens passions, and to remove their prejudices, and to dispose their inclinations, and thereby lay a toundation for a bleffid Union among our felves, which would frustrate the great delign of our enemies upon us, who expect to fee that Religion destroyed by our own folly, which they could not otherwise hope to accomplish by their utmost care and endeavour. And we may justly hope for a greater bleffing of God upon us, when we offer up our joint Prayers and Devotions to him, lifting up, as St Paul speaks, hely hands without wrath and disputing. This is therefore a thing of fo great confequence to our Peace and Union, that tends fo much to the Honour of God, and our common Safety and Preservation; that no person who hath any real concernment for these things, can deny it to be not only just and fitting, but in our circumstances necessary to be done, if it can be made appear to be lawful, or that they can do it with a good Conscience.

And this is the subject I defign to speak to at this time; and for that purpose have made choice of these words of the Apostle, Nevertheless, whereto we have already et-

sained, let us walk by the same rule, let us mind the same things.

For our better understanding the full scope and Meaning of the Apostle in these words, we are to confider, that an unhappy Schism, or wilful breach of the Churches Unity, had begun in the Apostles times, upon the difference that arose concerning the necessity of keeping the Law of Moses. And that which made the Schism the more Ad. 15. dangerous, was that the first beginners of it pretended a commission from the Apostles themselves at Ferusalem, and were extreamly busie and industrious to gain and keep up a party to themselves in the most flourishing Churches planted by the Apostles. At Answeb they bore so great a sway, that St, Peter himself complyed with them, and not only other Jews, but Barnabas also was carryed away with their diffimulation, Infomuch that had it not been for the courage and resolution of St. Paul, all the Gentile Christians had been either forced to a compliance with the Jews, or to a perpetual Schism, (of which St. Peter had been in probability the Head, and not of the Churches unity if St. Paul, had not vigoroufly opposed so dangerous a compliance) But finding so good success in his endeavours at Antioch, he pursues these false Apostles, who made it their business to divide and separate the Christians from each others Communion through all the Churches, where they had, or were like to make any great impression. He writes his Epistle to the Galatians purposely against them; he warns the Christians at Rome of them. Now I befeech you Brethren mark them which cause Divisions and Offences contrary to the Doarine which you have learned, and avoid them. And because he had understood they had been bufic at Philippi to make a party there too, therefore the Apostle to prevent their designs, Makes useof this follows ing method. I. He

Rom. 16, 17.

34.

Gal. 2.

17, 13.

45.16,

I Tim.

e, 9,

970

to the faith of the Golpel, in spight of all the threats and malice of their ene- phil. is mies: That ye stand fast in one spirit, with one mind, striving together for the faith of the 27. 28. Golpel, and in nothing terrified by your adversaries. If once the fears of troubles and perfecutions make men asraid to own and maintain their Religion, it will be an easie matter for their enemies sirst to divide, and then to subdue them. But their courage and unanimity in a good Cause bessee the attempts of the most daring Adversaries, and makes them willing to retreat when they see they can neither distinct them nor make them asraid.

2. He befeeches them in the most vehement and assectionate manner, not to give way to any differences or divisions among them. If there be therefore any consolation Philes. in Christ, if any comfort of love, if any fellowship of the spirit, if any bowels and mercies; 1,2. sulfit ye my joy, that ye be like minded, having the same love; being of one accord, of one mind. As though he had said unto them, I have seen the miserable essects of divisions in other Churches already; how our Religion hath been reproached, the Gospel hindred, and the Cross of Christ rendred of little or no essect by reason of them, let me therefore intreat you, if you have any regard to the Peace and Welfare of your own souls; if you have any sense of your duty you owe to one another as members of the same body; if you have any tenderness or pity towards me, avoid the first tendencies to any breaches among you; entertain no unjust suspicions or jealousies of each other, shew all the kindness you are able to your fellow members; live as those that are acted by the same soul (Complexos) carry on the same design; and

as much as possi le prevent any differences in opinions amongst you.

3. He warns themand gives cautions against some persons from whom their greatelt danger was viz fuch as pretended mighty zeal for the law : And very well understanding the mit hief of their designs under their specious pretences, he beflows very fevere characters upon them, verf. 2. Beware of Dogs, beware of evil workers, beware of the Concision. All which Characters relate to the breaches and divisions which they made in the Christian Churches; which like Dogs they did tear in pieces and thereby did unspeakable mischief, and so were evil workers; and by the Concision St. Chryfostom understands such a cutting in pieces as tends to the destruction of a thing; and therefore, faith he, the Apostle called them extraveled. Trair Exuluois viampelle referente. because they endeavoured to cut in pieces, and thereby to deftroy the Church of God. But left they should give out that St. Paul spoke this out of a particular pique he had taken up against the Law of Moses, he declares that as to the spiritual intention and design of the Law it was accomplished in Christians, ver. 3. For we are the Circumcision which worship God in the spirit, and rejoyce in Chrift Jejus, and bave no confidence in the flesh. And for his own part, he had as much reason to glory in legal priviledges as any of them all, verf. 4, 5, 6. but the excellency of the Gospel of Christ had so prevailed upon his mind, that he now despised the things he valued before, and made it his whole business to attain to the glorious reward which this Religion promifeth. This he purfues from verf. 7, to verf. 15 ..

4. Having done this he perswades all good Christians to do as he did, vers. 15.

Let us therefore, as many as be perfect, be thus minded. But because many disputes and differences as to opinion and practice might happen among them, he therefore lays down two Rules for them to govern themselves by.

B2

1. If

1. If any happen'd to differ from the body of Christians they lived with, they should do it with great modely and humility, not breaking out into factions and divilions, but waiting for farther information, which they may expect that God will give upon a diligent and fober use of the best means; And if in any thing ye be otherwife minded, God hall reveal even this unto you. By leaving them to Gods immediate care for farther illumination, he doth not bid them depend upon extraordinary revelation; but requires them to wait upon God in his own way, without proceeding with the false Apostles to the ways of Faction and Separation, and in the mean time to go 23 far as they could.

2. For those who were come to a firmness and settlement of Judgment upon the Christian principles, he charges them by all means to preferve Unity and Peace among themselves. Whereso we have already attained, les us walk by the same Rule, let

us mind the lame things.

Wherein the Apostle supposes two things.

In The necessity of one fixed and certain Rule, notwithstanding the different atrainments among Christians. Nevertheles, whereto we have already attained, let us walk

by the lame Rule.

FFEETOV.

11. The duty and obligation which lies upon the best Christians to observe it, He doth not speak to the ignorant and unthinking multitude; not to the licentions rabtle, nor so the carnal and worldly Church; which some think are only desirous of Uniformity ; but to the very best Christians ; to those who had got the start of others (as the words here fignifie) that they would be an example of Peace and Unity to their

In The necifity of one fixed and certain Rule, notwithstanding the different attainments of Christians. In aund sugar natur which Phrase feems to be a continuation of the To pir former allufion to a Race. For as Enflathing observes, the first thing the Greeks were wont to do as to their exercites, was to circumscribe the bounds within which discipling they were to be performed. That which fixed and determined these limits was cal-Illiad 3. led Kare's by the Greeks, and Regule and Linea by the Latins: thence transilire linear in Cicero is to commit a fault, to break the bounds within which we are confined.

All the question is, what the Apostle means by this Rule, whether only a Rule of Charity and mutual for bearance, with a liberty of different practice; or fuch a Rule which limits and determines the manner of practice. It cannot be the former, because that is the case, the Apostle had spoken to just before. If in any thing ye be otherwise minded ; therefore now subjoining this with respect to those who had gone beyond them, he doth imply such an agreement and uniformity of Practice as doth lie in observing the same standing Rule. For which we must consider, that they understood already what orders and directions he had given them when a Church was first formed among them; and therefore when the Apostle mentions a Rule without declaring what it was, we have reason to believe, it was such a Rule which they very well knew, which he had given to them before. So we find ellewhere the Apostic refers to such Rules of Government and Order, which he had given to ther Churches, and were already received and practifed among them. For the Apolites did not write their Epiftles for the founding of Churches, but they were ale ready in being,; only they took notice of any diforders among them, and reformed abutes, and left fome things to their even directions, when they should come among

them. And the rest will I set in order when I come, Ar the Lord bath called every one, 1 Court. fold bim walk; and fo ordain I in all the Churches. Which fliews that the Apostles 34. did not leave all persons to act as they judged fir, but did make Rules determining 1 Cor. 7. their practice, and obliging them to uniformity therein. For might not men pretend that thele were not things in themselves necessary, and might be scrupled by fome perfons, and therefore were not fit to be imposed upon any? But I do not find that the Apostles on this account did forbear giving Rules in such cases, and to oblige Christians to observe them; and that not on the meer Authority of Apostles, but as Governours of Churches, whose business it is to take care of the welfare and preservation of them. There are many things which seem very little and inconsiderable in themselves, whose consequence and tendency is very great; and the wifdom of Governours lies in preventing the danger of little things, and keeping the zeal of well-meaning persons within its due bounds. For, those who are engaged below in the valley . fighting in small parties, and pursuing their advantages, do run into their enemies Camp before they are aware of it, may receive an unexpeded check from their Commanders in chief, who from the higher ground elpy the hazard they are in by their over-forwardness, and the arts which their enemies use in drawing them into little Companies to fight separately, and the danger they may thereby bring upon the whole Army; and therefore fend them a peremptory order to give over fighting by themselves and make good their retreat into the Body of the Army: They wonder, they complain, they think themselves hardly used; but no understanding man blames their Generals who regard their safety more than they do themselver, and know the allowing them the Liberty they defire, would endanger the destruction of them all. This Wissom and Conduct of Governours, is quite another thing from the Zeal and Courage of inferiour persons; who knowing their own resolution and integrity, think much to be controlled; but those who stand upon higher Ground and see further than they can do, must be allowed a better espacity of judging what makes for the safety of the whole, than they can have: and fuch things which they look on in themselves, and therefore think them mean and triffing, the other look upon them in their consequence, and the inflience they may have upon the publick falety. It were extreamly deli eable that all good and ufeful men should enjoy as much satisfaction as might be, but if it cannot be attained without running great hazards of unfettling all, it is then to be confidered, whether the general fafety or some mens particular satisfaction be the more desireable. And this is that, which the example of the Apostles themselves gives us rea on to confider, for although there were many doubts and locuples in their times about several Rites and Customs, yet the Apostles did give Rules in Sich cases, and bind Assis. Christians to observe them 3 as we find in that tamous decree made upon great 18. deliberation, in a Council of the Apostles at Faufilem; wherein therein they determined those things which they knew were then scrupled, and continued so to be afterwards, whereever the Judaizing Christians prevailed. But notwithslanding a'l. their diffatisfaction, the Apostles continued the same Rule; and S. Paul here requires the most forward Christians to mind their Rule, and to preferve Peace and Unity among themselves.

another Rule, viz. only of mutual forbeneauce in fueb esfet, where men are enfatisfied

I antwer, that the Apostle did alt like a prudent Governour, and in such a man. ner, as he thought, did most tend to the propagation of the Gospel, and the good of particular Churches. In some Churches that consisted most of Jews, as the Church of Rome at this time did, and where they did not impose the necessity of keeping the Law on the Gentile Christians (28 we do not find they did at Rome) the Apostle was willing to have the Law buried as decently, and with as little noise as might be; and theref re in this case, he perswades both parties to Forbearance and Charity, in avoiding the judging and eenfuring one another, fince they had an Fom 14. equal regard to the bonour of God in what they did. But in those Churches, where the falle Aposties made ase of this pretence, of the Levitical Law being still in force, to divide the Churches, and to separate the Communion of Christians; there the Apostle bids them beware of them, and their practices; as being of a dangerous and pernicious consequence. So that the preserving the Peace of the Church, and preventing Separation was the great measure, according to which, the Apostle gave his directions; and that makes him fo much infift on dvice to the Philippians, that whatever their attainments in Christianity were, they should walk by the same Rule, and mind the same things.

II. We take notice of the Duty and obligation that lies upon the best Christians,

to walk by the same Rule, to mind the same things.

From whence arife two very confiderable Enquiries.

1. How far the obligation doth extend to comply with an established Rule, and

to preserve the Peace of the Church we live in;

2. What is to be done, if men cannot come up to that Rule? For the Apostle speaks only of such as have attained so far; Whereso we have already attained, let us

walk by the fame Rule.

1. How far the obligation doth extend to comply with an established Rule, and to preserve the Peace of the Church we live in? This I think the more necessary to be spoken to, because I cannot perswade my self that so Many scrupulous and conscientious m n as are at this day among us would live so many years in a known in; i.e. in a state of Separation from the Communion of a Church, which in Conscience they thought themselves obliged to communicate with. It must be certainly some great missake in their judgements must lead them to this; (for I am by no means willing to impute it to passion and evil designs) and out of the hearty desire I have, if possible, to give satisfaction in this matter, I shall endeavour to search to the bottom of this dangerous missake, to which we owe so much of our present distractions & search

But for the better preventing all mif-understanding the design of my Discourse,

I desire it may be considered.

ach other; which according to the Scripture, Antiquity and Reason, have a just Right and Power to Govern and Resorm themselves. By whole Churches, I mean, the Churches of such Nations, which upon the decay of the Roman Empire, resumed their just Right of Government to themselves, and upon their owning Christianity, incorporated into one Christian Society, under the same eommon ties and Rules of Order and Government. Such as the Church of Macedonia would have been, if from being a Roman Province it had become a Christian Kingdom, and the Churches of Ibessaling, Phitippi and the rest had united together. And so the several

Churches of the Lydian or Proconsular Asia, if they had been united in one Kingdom, and governed by the same Authority, under the same Rules, might have been truly called the Lydian Church. Just as several Families uniting make one Kingdom, which at first had a distinct and independent Power, but it would make strange confusion in the world to reduce Kingdoms back again to families, because at first they were made up of them. Thus National Churches are National Societies of Christians, under the same Laws of Government and Rules of Worship. For the true notion of a Church is no more than of a Society of men united together for their Order and Government according to the Rules of the Christian Religion. And ie is a great mistake, to make the notion of a Church barely to relate to Atts of Wor. (hip; and confequently that the adequate notion of a Church, is an Affembly for Dia vine Worship; by which means they appropriate the name of Churche to particular Congregations. Whereas, if this held true, the Church must be diffilved affoon as the Congregation is broken up; but if they retain the nature of a Church, when they do not meet together for Worship, then there is some other band that unites them; and whatever that is, it constitutes the Church. And if there be one Catholick Church confifting of multitudes of particular Churches confenting in one Faith 3 then why may there not be one National Church from the confent in the same Articles of Religion, and the same Rules of Government and Order of Worship? Nay, if it be mutual consent and agreement which makes a Church, then why may not National Societies agreeing together in the faine Faith, and under the same Government and Discipline, be as truly and properly a Church, as any parcicular Congregation? For, is not the Mingdom of France as truly a Kingdom confifting of fo many Provinces; as the Kingdom of Ivetot once was in Normandy, which Rob. Ceconfifted of a very small territory? Among the Athenians, from whom the use of nalis Hit. the word 'exercia came into the Christian Church, it was taken for such an Affembly Galic.l. which had the Power of Governing and determining matters of Religion as well as the P. 126. affairs of State, For the Senate of 500 being distributed into fitties according to the number of the Tribes, which succeeded by course through the year; and was Jul. Polthen called oguranizou sin; every one of these had 4 vous innantas Regular affem. lux Onoblies; in the last of which, an account of the Sacrifices was taken and of other mat- m. ft. 18. ters which concerned Religion; as in the Comicia Calara at Rome. From whence c. 9. we may observe, that it was not the meeting of one of the fingle Tribes, wascal- Schol. in led 'Exertoria, but the General Meeting of the Magistrates of the whole City and the Arist. people together. And in this sense I shall shew afterwards, the word was used in Ad. 1,14. the first Ages of the Christian Church, as it comprehended the Ecclesiastical Governours and the People of whole Cities; and why many of thele Cities being united under one Civil Government, and the same Rules of Religion should not be called. one National Church, I cannot understand. Which makes me wonder at these who say sacriles they cannot tell what we mean by the Church of England; in short, we mean that Society gious deof Christian People which in this Nation are united under the same Protession of sertion, Faith, the same Laws of Government, and rules of Divine Worship. And every P 35 Churchus constituted, we do affert to have a just Right of Governing it self, and Separariof efolding Errors in Doerine and Corruptions in Worling. On which Ground, we are acquitted from the imputation of Schism in the fe- p. 59.

fration from the Roman Church, for we only refume our just Rights, as the

Brittish Nation did, as to Civil Government, upon the Roman Empire.

. I do not intend to speak of the Terms upon which Persons are to be admitted among us to the Exercise of the Function of the Ministry; but of the Terms of Lay- o nmunion ; i. e, those which are necessary for all Persons to joyn in our Prayers and Sacraments and other Offices of Divine Worship. I will not say, there hath been a great deal of Art used to confound thesetwo, (andit is easie to discern to what pur pole it is,) but I dare fay, the Peoples not understanding the difference of these two Cases hath been a great occasion of the present Separation. For in the Judgment of some of the most impartial men of the Dissenters at this day, although they think the case of the Ministers very hard on the account of Subscriptions and Declarariens required of them; yet they confess very little is to be said on the behalf of the People from whom none of those things are required. So that the People are condemned in their Separation, by their own Teachers; but how they can preach law-Prace-o'- fully to a People who commit a fault in hearing them, I do not understand.

3, 1 do not confound bare suspending Communion in some particular Riter, which fering in the name perfons do modefily foruple, and using it in what they judge to be lawful; with either total, or at least ordinary forbearance of Communion in what they judge to be lamof the Congreful; and proceeding to the forming of Separate Congregations; i . e. under other Teachers. gational and by other Rules than what the established Religion allows. And this is the preparty, tent case of Seperation which I intend to consider, and to make the sinfulness and AD.

1667. mif hief of it appear. P 10. 11.

Baxteis

of his

Cure. p. 64.

separati.

Schila, P. 60.

* Dij-

conife

concern-

gelical Love,

Church

Desce &

1672. p. 81, 85,

Schifm,

Defence

Care, p.

othis

33,

p. 41 Baxters

But that I may do it more convincingly, I will not make the difference wider than it is 5 but lay down impartially the state of the present Controversie between us

Defence and our diffenting Breibren, about Communion with our Churches,

1, They unanimously confess they find no fault with the Dodrine of our Church, and can freely subscribe to all the Dollrinal Articles; nay they profess greater zeal fer on yei no many of them, than, fay they . Some of our own Preachers do. Well then! The case is vally different as to their separation from us, and our separation from the hurch of Rome; for we declare, if there were nothing e se amis among them, their Do-Cirines are fuch, as we can never give our affent to.

2. * They generally yield, that our Parochial Churches are true Churches; and it is ingeyan, with these their Communion is required. They do not deny that we have all the effentials of true Churches, true Deffrine, true Sacraments; and an implicite Covenant between Paffers and People. And some of the most eminent of the Congregational way have declared; that they look uponit as an unjust calumy cast upon them, that they

look upon our Churches as no true Churches.

3. Many of them declare, that they hold communion with our Churches to be lawful. Yea we are told in Print, by one then present, that A. D. 1663, divers of their Prea-Corbet of chers in London met to consider, have far it was lawful, or their duty to communicate with the Parilo Churches where they lived, in the Liturgy and Sacraments & and that the Relator brought in ementy Reasons to provetbat it is a duty to some to join with some Parish. Churches three times a year in the Lords Supper ; after he had not only proved it lamful to use a form of Prayer, and to join in the use of our Liturgy, but in the participation of the Sacrament with us, and no one of the Brethren, he adds, fremed to diffent, but to take the Reasons to be valid. such another meeting, We are told, they had after the

(33) The Plague and Fire, at which they agreed that Communion with our Churches was in it pleafor felf lamful and good. Who could have imagined otherwise, than that after the weight peace pe of so many Reasons, and such a general consent among them, they should have ali 240, joyned with us in what themselves judged to be lawful, and in many cases a duty? But instead of this, we have rather since that time found them more inclinable to courtes of separation, filling the people with greater prejudices against our Communion, and gathering them into fixed and feparate Congregations; which have proceeded to the choice of new Pattors upon the death of old ones; and except former very few, scarce any, either of their Preachers or People here, come ordinarily to the publick Congregations. And this is that which at prefent we lament as a thing which unavoidably tends to our common ruine, it not in time prevented; for by this means the hearts of the People are alienated from each other, who apprehend the differences to be much greater than their Teachers will allow, when they are put to declare their minds; and our common enemies take as much advantage from our differences, as if they were really far greater than they are.

But you may ask, what then are the grounds of the present Separation? for that there is such a thing is discernible by all, but what the reasons of it are is hard to understand after these concessions; yet it is not conceivable that conscientious men can in such a juncture of affairs persist in so obstinate and destructive a course of separation, unless they had something at last set to answer the twenty Reasons of their own Brethren

against it?

I have endeavoured to give my self satisfaction in a matter of so great moment to the Peace and preservation of this Church, and consequently of the Protestant Religion among us; which I never expect to see survive the destruction of the Church of England.

And the utmoft I can find in the beft Writers of the feveral Parties, amounts to thefe

two things.

1. That although they are in aftate of separation from our Church, yet this sparation is

2. That a State of Separation would be a fin but notwithstanding their meeting in dise

ferent places, yet they are not in a state of separation.

And herein lies the whole strength of the several Pleas at this day made use of to justifie the Separate Congregations: both which I shall now examine.

1. Some plead, that it is true they have distinct and separate Communions from us, but ing Evanities no sin, or culpable separation so to have. For, say they, Our Lord Christ instituted gelical only Congregational Churches, or particular Assemblies for Divine Worship, which having Love, the sole Church power in themselves, they are under no obligation of Communion with other Churches, but only to preserve Re coand Charity with them. And to this doctrine, where and Union selection of late approach so near, that they tell us, that to devise new species of Churches (be-ty, p. 68, yend Parochial or Congregational) without Gods Authority, and to impose them on the Batters world (yea in his name) and call all Dissenters Schismaticks, is a far worse usuappation, trucand than to make or impose new Ceremonies or Liturgies. Which must suppose Congre-only way gational Churches to be so much the Institution of Christ, that any other Constitution above these is both unlawfull and insupportable. Which is more then the Inde-D. 630, pendent Brethren themselves do assert.

C

(34)

But to clear the practice of Separation from being a fin on this account, two things are necessary to be done.

1. To prove that a Christian hath no obligation to external Communion bayond

a Congregational Church.

1. That it is lawful to break off Communion with other Churches, to fet up a par-

ticular independent Church,

3.5.

15.

2. That a Christian bath no obligation to external Communion beyond a particular Con-Evange. licei love, gregational Church. They do not deny, that men by Baptism are admitted into the Ca-&c. p.49. tholick visible Church as Members of it; and that there ought to be a fort of Communion 52. P.\$4. by mutual Love among all that belong to this Body: and to do them Right, they declare that they look upon the Church of England, or the Generality of the Nation professing Christianity, to be as found and healthful a part of the Catholick Church, as any in the World. But then they fay, Communion in ordinances must be only in such Churches as Christ bimself instituted by unalterable Rules, which were only particular and Congregational D. 39. Churcheso

Granting this to be true, how doth it hence appear not to be a fin to separate from our Parochial Churches, which according to their own concessions have all the Efsential's of true Churches? And what Ground can they have to separate and divide those Churches, which for all that we can fee, are of the same nature with the Churches planted by the Apostles at Corinth, Philippi or The falonica ? But I must needs say surther, I have never yet seen any tolerable proof, that the Churches planted by the Apostles were limitted to Congregations, It is possible, at first, there might be no more Christians in one City than could meet in one Assembly for Worthies but where doth it appear, that when they multiplied into more Conprigations they did make new and diffind Churches , under new Officers with a Separate Power of Government? Of this, I am well affured, there is no mark or footstep in the New Testament, or the whole History of the Primitive Church. I do not think it will appear credible to any confiderate man, that the 5000 Christians in the Church of Ferufalem made one frated and fixed Congregation for divine Worship; not if we make all the allowances for frangers which can be defired: but if this were granted, where are the unalterable Rules that affoon as the company became too great for one particular Affembly, they must become a new Church under peculiar Officers and an independent Authority?

It is very strange, that those who contend so much for the Scriptures being a perfect Rule of all things pertaining to worship and Discipline, should be able to produce nothing in so necessary a Point. It that of which we read the clearest instances in Scripture, must be the standard of all future Ages, much more might be said for limiting Churches to private families, than to particular Congregations. For, do we not read of the Church that was in the Huse of Priscilla and Aquila at Rome; of Rom 16. the Church that was in the House of Nymphas at Colosse; and in the House of Philemon at Laodicea? Why then should not Churches be reduced to Clos. 4. particular Families, when by that means they may, fully enjoy the Liberty of their Consciences, and avoid the soundal of breaking the Laws? But if, notwithfianding fuch plain examples, men will extend Churches to Congregations of many

ph'i v. : Families; why may not others extend Churches to those Societies which confit of

(15)

many Congregations? Especially considering, that the Apostles when they instituted Churches, dld appoint such Officers in them, as had not barely a respect to those already converted, but to as many as by their means should be added to the Church, as Clemens affirms in his Epifile ; The Apostler, faith he, went about in Cities and Coun-clom. tries preaching the Gospel; and appointed their First-fruits, baving made aspiritual trial of Ep. a them, for Bishops and Deacons, Tor undittor wirever, of these who were to believe. From Corinet. hence the number of Converts were looked on as an accellion to the Original Church, P. 55. and were under the care and Government of the Bishop and Presbyters, who were first settled there. For although when the Churches increased, the occasional meetings were frequent in feveral places; yet fill there was but one Church, and one Altar. and one Baptistry, and one Bishop, with many Presbyters affisting him. And this is fo very plain in Antiquity, as to the Churches planted by the Apostles themselves in several parts, that none but a great stranger to the History of Maicuique civitati erat tribute the Church can ever call it in question. I am sure Calvin, a perfon of great and deserved reputation among our Brethren, looks de sumeret, & velut corpori Ecupon this as a matter out of dispute among learned men, that a clesizillius accenseretur, Cal-Church did not only take in the Christians of a whole City, win Infiit. L. g. e. 4. n. 2. but of the adjacent Country too: and the contrary opinion is a very novel and late fancy of some among us, and hath not age enough to plead a Presciption: It is true, Petavi after some time in the greater Cities, they had diffinct places allotted and Presbyters not in fixed among them; and fuch allotments were called Titles at Rome, and Laure at Epiphan. Alexandria, and Parishes in other places; but these were never thought then to be n is new Churches, or to have any independent Government in themseves; but were all Conon. In Subjection to the Bishop and his Colledge of Presbyters, of which multitudes of Ex- Nicae. 6 amples might be brought from most authentick Testimonies of Antiquity; it athing Constan. so evident needed any proof at all. And yet this distribution even in Cities was so c. 6. uncommon in those elder times, that Epiphanius takes notice of it as an extraordina. Chalced. ry thing at Alexandria; and therefore it is probably supposed there was no such thing 17.20,16 in all the Cities of Creet in his time, And if we look over the antient Canons of the 2. Codex Church, we shall find two things very plain in them, (1.) That the notion of a Eccl. A-Church was the same with that of a Diocese; or such a number of Christians as fric. c. 53 were under the inspection of a Bishop. (2.) That those Presbyters who rejected c 55. the Authority of their Bishop, or aff. &ed feparate meetings, where no fault could be Gauge. found with the Doctrine of a Church, were condemned of Schism. So the followers c. 6. of Eustathius Sebastenus, who withdrew from the publick Congregations on pre- Concil. tence of greater fanctity and purity, in Paphlagonia, were condemned by the Coun-Confic.6 cil at Gangre; fo where those who teparated from their Bishops, though otherwise Carthage never fo orthodox, by the Council at Constantinople, and the Council at Carthage; c. 10-112 wherein before St. Cyprian had so justly complained of the Schism of Felicissi mus and Cyprian. his Brethren, who on pretence of some disorders in the Church of Carthage had with- Ep. 40, drawn to the Mountains; and there laid the foundation of the Novatian Schism. But Theed. when false Doctrine was imposed on Churches, as by the Arian Bishops at Antioch, Eccl nist. then the people were exculed in their separation, so at Rome when Felix was made 1. 1.c. 22 Bishop; and at Sirmium when Photinus published his Heretie; but Ido not remem- 1.2, c. ber one instance in Antiquity, wherein separation from Orthodon Bishops and setting vincent, up c. 16.

(16)

up Meetings without their Authority and against their consent, was acquitted from the fin of Sebism. Indeed some Bishops have sometimes refused Communion with others upon great mideine nors; as Theognoftus and St. Martin with the Ithacian party on the account of the death of Pricillian, but this doth not at all reach to the case of Presbyters separating from Bishops, with whom they agree in the same saith. The followers of St. Chryfoltom, did, I confess, continue their separate Meetings after his banishment, and the coming in of Arsacius: but although they withdrew in his time, being unsatisfied in the manner of his choice, yet when Attieus reftored the name of St. Chrylestom to the Diptychs of the Church, they returned to communion with their Bishop, as St. Chrysostom himself advised them (as appears by Palladius) which is far from jullifying the wilful separation of Presbyters and People from the

Communion of their Bishops, when they do agree in the same Fairb.

2. But suppose the first Churches were barel Congregational, by reason of the small number of Believers at that time, yet what obligation lies upon us to diffurb the Peace of the Church we live in to reduce Churches to their infant state? They do not think it med ceffary to reduce the first Community of Goods, which was far more certainly practifed than Congregational Churches; they do not think it necessary to mash one anothers feet, although Christ did it, and bad I is Disciples do as he did: they believe that the first civil Government was appointed by God himfelf over Families, do they therefore think themselves bound to overthrow Kingdoms to bring things back to their first insitutions If not, why shall the Peace of the Church be in so much worse a condition than that of the Eivil-Rate. It is very uncertain whether the Primitive form were fuch as they fancy; if it were, It is more uncertain whether it were not fo from the circumitar ces of the times, rather than from any inflitution of Christ; but it is most certainly our duty to preferve Peace and Unity among Christians; & it is impossible so to do if men break all Orders in pieces for the fancy they have taken up of a Primitive Platform. It is a great fault among some who pretend to great niceness in some positive Duties, that they have fo little regard to comparative Duties: For that which may be a duty In one case, when it comes to thwart a greater Doty: may be none. This Doctrine we learn from our bleffed Saviour in the cate of he bligation of the Sabbath; which Maria.7. he makes to yield to duties of Mercy. And can we think that a duty lying upon us, which in our circumstances makes a far greater Duty impracticable? Is there any thing Christ and his Apostles have charged more upon the Consciences of all Chri-

Bom; 14. Cans. than fludying to preferve Peace and Unity among Christian? Thi is that me 16. must follow after, even when it seems to fly from us; this is that we must apply our minde

1. Then to and think it our honour to promote, this is that which the most perfect Christians a a 4.11. I'em ft zealous for; this is that, for the take of which we are commanded to practife meckness, humility, parience, self-denial and submission to Governours. And after all this, 15.2.8. Eph 4. can we imagine the attaining of fuch an end should depend upon mens conjectures, whether five aboutand he ftians in times of perfecution could Meb. 13. make one Assembly for Worthin ? Or whether all the Christians in Ephefus or Connib made but one Cong secon? On what terms can we ever hope for Peace in the Church, if fue Motions as there be ground enough to diffurb n? What stop can be put to Schilles and Separation if such pretences as these be suffici-

and to justific them. Men may please themselves in talking of preserving Peace and

T.0210.

Baron, A.D 404. n. 47.

Joh. It. 14.

Love under separate Communions; but our own fad experience shows the contrary; for as nothing tends more to unite mens hearts thin joyning together in the fame Prayers and Sacraments; fo nothing doth more alienate mens Affections, than withdrawing from each other into separate Congregations. Which tempts some to spiritual Pride and foorn and contempt of others, as of a more carnal and worldly Church than themselves; and provokes others to lay open the follies, and indiferetions and immoralities of those who pretend to so much Parity and Spirituality above their Brethren.

2. Others confess, that to live in a state of separation from such Churches, as many at True least of ours are, were a fin ; for they lay, that causeless renouncing emmunion with true way of Churches is Schifm, effecially if it be joyned with ferting up Anti-churches unmarrantablly concord, against them; but this they deny that they do, although they preach when and where tare 3. It is forbidden by Law; and worship God, and administer Sacraments by other sed. 40. Rules and after a different manner than what our Church requires. This is not dealing with us with that fairness and ingenuity which our former Brethren used; for they avow the fact of Separation, but deny it to be finful; these owning it to be finful, have no other refuge left but to deny the fact, which is evident to all perfons. For do they not do the very fame things and in the fame manner, that the others do; how comes it then to be Separation in some and not in others? They are very unwilling to confess a Separation, because they have formerly condemned it with great feverity; and yet they do the fame things for which they charged others as

guilty of a finfed Separation.

For, the Affembly of Divines urged their defenting Brethren to comply with their Rules of Church-Government, and charged them with Schifm if they did it not; whereas they only defired to enjoy fuch liberty as to their feparate Congregations, as Papers is now pleaded for by our diffenting Brethren. This, say they, would give countenance for Acto a perpenual Schism and Division in the Church still drawing away some from the dation. Churches under the Rule, which also would breed irritations between the Parties; and printed would introduce all manner of confusion. And they thought it a very unreasonable thing 1643 for them to defire distinct and separated Congregations, as to those parts of worship where 16. p. 20. they could joyn in communion with them: and they thought no person was to be in- p 22. dulged as to any Error or Scruple of confeiences but with this Provile, that in all other parts of worthip they joyn with the Congregation wherein they live; and be under the Government to be eliablished. To this the differting Brethren answered, that such a variation, or forbenrance could neither be a Schism, nor endanger it; and that the great cause of Schifm harb been a strice obligation of all to Uniformity; that as long as in their separate Congregations they did practife most of the same things, and the most substantial in their Rule, it could not be called a total Separation, officially considering that they prof sed their Churches to be true Churches; and that they had occasional communion with them, which is the very f me Plea made of o at thi day among us. To which e Affemblies p 18,29. party im: thy replied, that fines they acknowledged their Churches to be for true, that 30. they oud recasionally joyn in all Acis of Worship, they conceived they were bound in all p. 47. with them in joynt communion by one common Rule, and not by different Rules in in letarate Congragations, And they add, that to leave all ordinary Communion in my Church's 55. with diff ke, when opposition or offence offers it felf; is to seperate from fach a Church in

the Scripture fenfe, such separation was not in being in the Apostles times, unless it were used by fa fo Teachers all who professed Christianity beld Communion together, as in one Church, natwithstanding differences of Judgment, or corruptions in practice; and that, if they can bold occasional Communion witbout sin, they know no reason wby it may not be ordinary without fin too, and then soparation would be needless. To which they subjoin theferemarkable words, which I heartily with our brethren at this day would think feriously upon , To separate from those Churches ordinarily and visibly with whom occasionally you may join without fin, seemeth to be a most unjust separation. So that whatever falle colours and pretences some men make use of to justifie their present practice, if the judgement of their own Brethren may be taken upon the most weighty debate, and most lerious deliberation, it is no better than plain and down right separation. And I must needs say, I never saw any Cause more weakly defended, no, not that of Polygomy and Anabaptism; than that of these, who allow it to be lawful to join in Communion with us, and yet go about to vindicate the separate Meetings among us, from the guilt of a finful separation. For although they allow our Churches, to be true, and abat it is lawful to communicate with them, which is the most plausible Plea they have, this is fo far from extenuating, that it doth aggravate the fault; for as the Brethren of the Assembly said. Though they do not pronounce an officmative Judgement against ut; yet the very separating is a tacit and practical condemning of our Churches, if not as falle, yet as impure.

But whatever may be said as to other Pleas for their present practices, my Text seems to afford the strongest of all, viz. that men are to be pressed to go no farther than they have already attained, and not to be strained up to an uniformity beyond the distates of their Consciences, but to be let zlone, as the Apostle directs in the soregoing verse, If any one be otherwise minded, be must be left to God, and that ma-

milestation of his will, which he will be pleased to give him.

The clearing of this will give a full answer to the second enquiry, viz.

2. What is to be done, if mon cannot come up to the Rule prescribed.

To this therefore I answer in these particulars.

Apostle makes Communion necessary, as far as it is lawfully may do. For this Rule of the Apostle makes Communion necessary, as far as it is lawfull, &t that upon the account of the general ob igation lying upon all Christians to do what in them lies for preservation of the peace of the Church. Therefore as far as ye have attained malk by the same Rule, do the same things; which words, saith Cajetan, the Apostle subjoyus to the former, lest the persons he there speaks to should think themselves excused from going as far as they can as to the same Rule. Which plain'y shews that men are bound in Conscience to go as far as they can; and I cannot see how it is consistent with that tender-miss of conscience which our Brethten pretended to, for so many of them to live so many yeares in a neglect of that Communion with our Church, which themselves judge to be lawful.

I dare say, it most of the Preachers at this day in the separate Meetings were soberly asked their judgements, whether it were lawful for the People to joyn with us in the publick Assemblies, they would not deny it; and yet the People that frequent them generally judge otherwise. For it is not to be supposed, that sadion among them should so commonly prevail beyond interest; and therefore if they thought it were lawful for them to comply with the Laws, they would do it. But why then is this kept up as fuch a mighty fecret in the breafts of their Teachers ? Why do they not preach it to them in their Congregations? Is it for fear, they should have none left to preach to? that is not to be imagined of mortified and conscientious men. Is It lest they should feem to condemn themselves, while they preach against Separation in a separate Congregation ?

This, I confess, looks oddly, and the tenderness of a mans mind in such a case, may out of meer shamefacedness keep him from declaring a Truth which flies in his face,

while he speaks it.

Is it that they fear the Reproaches of the People? which some sew of the most eminent persons among them, have found they must undergo if they touch upon this Subject (for I know not how it comes to pais, that the most godly people among them can the least endure to be told of their faults.) But is it not as plainly written by S. Paul, If I yet please men I should not be the Servant of Christ; as IVve be unto me if I Gal 1.10. preach not the Goffel? If they therefore would acquit themselves I ke honest and conscientious men, let them tell the people plainly that they look on our Churches as true Churches, and that they may lawfully communicate with us in Prayers and Sacraments; and I do not question but in time, if they find it lawful, they will judge it to be their Duty. For it is the Apostles Command here, Whereto we have already attained let us walk by the fame Rule, let us mind the fame things.

2. If the bare diffacilifaction of mens Consciences do justifie the lawfuln & of Separation. and breaking an established Rule, it were to little purpose to make any Rule at all. Because it is impossible to make any, which ignorant and injudicious men shall not apprehend to be in fome thing or other against the dictates of their Consciences. But because what we say may not weigh so much with them in this matter, as whit was faid on this Occasion by their own Brethren in the Affembly, I shall give an account

of their Judgment in this matter.

The differing Brethren were not so much wanting to their Cause, as not to plead senderness of Conscience with as much advantage and earnesiness as any men now can do it. To which they answer,

1. That though tenderness of Conscience may justifie non-communion in the thing for Ac-

scrupled, yet it can never justific Separation.

We much doubt, lay they, whether such tenderness of Conscience, as ariseth out of an opi-dation. nion, cui potest subesse salsum, (which may be false) when the Conscience is so tender, by that it may be withat an erring Conscience, can be a sufficient ground to inlife such a material Separation, as our Brethren plead for; For though it may bind, to forhear or suffend the All of Communion in that particular wherein men conceive they cannot but communion without fin (nothing being to be done contrary unto Conscience) yet it doth not hind to follow fuch a positive prescript as possibly may be divers from the will and counsel of God, of which kind we conceive this of gathering Separated Churches out of other true Churches to be one.

That it is endless to hope to give satisfaction to erring Consciences. The P. 66. Grounds, lay they, upon which this Set arasion is defined, and fuch upon a bech all other p fible feruples which erring confeiences may in any ober cales be fubjed unto, may claim the priviledge of the like Indulgence. And so this Toleration being the flest, shall indued but lay the Poundation and open the gap, whereat as many divisions in the Church, as there

may be foruples in the minds of men, hall upon the felf fame equity be let in. And again, p. 68, that this will make way for infinite divisions and sub-divisions; and give countenance to a p. 73 perpenual Schifm, and Division in the Church.

3. That foruple of conscience is no protetion against Schism's no eause of Separating; p. 68. nor doth is the off canfeless Separation from being Schism, which may arise from Errors of P. 73. confei nee as well as carnal and corrupt Reasons; and therefre they conceive the causes

of Se maion must be shewa to be such as ex natura rei will bear it out.

4. In the Apoliles natworkstanding the difference of mans Judgments did prescribe p. illi Rules of Uniformity. For, fay they, they suppressed the contentions of men by the custom of the Churches of God, I Cor. 11. 16. and ordin the fame practice in all the Churches, notwisstanding our Breebrens distinction of difference of light. I Cor. 7. 17. And did not the Apollus bind the burden of some necessary things on the Churches, albeit there were in shole Churches gradual differences of light?

5. That the Ap file by this Rule in the Text, did not intend to allow Brethren who agred D. 113. in all Substantials of Fairb and Worship, to separate from one another, in those very Subflantials wherein they agree. Is this, fay they, to maik by the same Rule, and to mind the same things to separate from Churches in those very things wherein we agree with them. We defire no more of them than we are confident was practifed by the Saints at Philippi. p. 1150

nam ly to hold practical communion in things wherein they deternally agree.

114.

Ibid, 6. That there is a great deal of difference besween Tyranny over mens Consciences, and Rules of Uniformity. For the diffenting Bretbren charged the Affembly, with festing up an Uniformity for Uniformities fake, i. e. affecting Uniformity formuch as not to regard mens Consciences; and without refect had to the varieties of Light in matters of a leffer nature; which, fay they, will prove a perfect Tyranny, and it is in effect to stretch a low man to the same length with a taller, or to cut a tall man to the stature of one that is low. for Uniformisies fake. To which the others answer, That they do not defire Uniformity for the lake of Tyranny, but only for Order, and Order for Edification. But for ought they couldperceive, any thing that is One must be judged the foundation of Tyranny (which are their own words.) As to variety of light, they defired their Brethren to answer them in this one thing, whether some must be denied liberty of their Conscience in matter of practice. or none? If none, then, lay they, we must renounce our Covenant and let in Prelacy again; and all other mays; if a denial of liberty unto some may be just, then Uniformity may be fettled notwithstanding variety of lights, without any Tyranny at all. As to their similitude they grant it to be pretty and plausible; but such arguments are popular and in artificial, baving more of flourish than substance in them, For did not they endeavour to raise lower Churches to a greater height? would they permit other Church Governments if it were in their power, because men must not for Uniformities fake be pared or stretched to the measure of other men? would they endere the lower suckers at the root of their tree to grow till they had killed the tree it felf is Al populum phaleras.

From whence we see the Church of England's endeavour after Uniformity is acquitted from Tyranny over the Confeiences of men by the Judgment of the most learned of the Assembly of Divines; for such we do not question they chose to manage this

debate, upon which the turn of their whole affairs depended.

3. A wilful Ecror or mistake of Conscience doth by no means excuse from sin. Thus if a man think himself bound to divide the Church by a finful Separation; that Separa-

tien is nevertheless a fin for his thinking himself bound to do it. For S. Paul thought Alls 16. bimself bound to do many things against the name of Jesus of Nazareth, yet he calls hime 9. self a blasphemer and the greatest of sinners, for what he did under that obligation of 1 Time 1. Conscience. The Jews thought themselves bound in Conscience to do God Service, 13.25. but it was a horrible mistake, when they took killing the Apostles to be any part of it. 2. From whence it appears, that men may do very bad things, and yet think themselves bound in Conscience to do them. I do not hence infer that the pretence of Conscience is not to be regarded, because it may be abused to so ill purposes; for no man that hath any Conscience will speak against the Power of it, and he that dec ares against it, hath no reason to be regarded in what he saith. But that which ought to be inferred from hence, is, that min ought not to reft fatisfied with the present dicates of their Consciences, for notwithstanding them, they may commit very great fins. 1 am afraid, the common mistating the case of an erroneous Conscience hath done a great deal of mischief to conscientious men, and betray'd them into great security, while they are affured they do act according to their Consciences. For the question is generally put , How far an erroneous Conscience doth oblige? And when men hear that they must not all against their Consciences though they be mistaken, they think themselves lase enough, and enquire no further. But if they would confider, that no mans Conscience alters the nature of Good and Evil in things; that what God hath made a Duty or a Sin remains to, whatever a mans Conscience doth judge concerning them; that no man Conscience can strictly oblige him either to omit a Duty or to commit a fin; the utmost Resolution of the Case comes to this, That a man may be so perplexed and entangled by an erroneous Conscience that he may be under a necefficy of finning, if he acts either with or against it; not that God ever puts a man under the necessity of finning, (for then it would be no fin to him, if it were navoidable) but that by their own neglect and carelefness, without looking after due information and running on with violent Prejudices, which was the case of S Paul and the Jews (and I wish it were not of many Christians) they may make talle and rash judgments of things, and so fin either in doing or not doing what their Consciences tell them they are bound to do.

The most material Question then, in the case of an erroneous Conscience, is, What Error of Conscience doth excuse a man from Sin in following the Didutes of it? For, if the Error be wholly involuntary; i.e. if it be caused by invincible Ignorance, or after using the best means for due information of his Conscience, though the All may be a fault in it felf, yet it shall not be imputed to him as a Sin; because it wanted the confest of the Mind, by which the Will is determined; but if men fall int wilful Errors of Conscience; i. e. if they form their Judgments rather by prejudice and possion and interest than from the Laws of God or just Rules of Conscience; if they do not examine things fairly on both fides, praying for divine direction; if they have not pa. gience to hear any thing against their opinion, but run on blindly and furiously, they may in so doing all according to their Consciences, and yet they may be in as great danger of committing heinous fins as S. Paul and the Jews were. Thus if men through the power of an erroneous Conscience may think themselves bound to make Schisms and Divisions in the Church, to disobey Laws and to break in pieces the Communion of that Church, which they are, or ought to be members of, they may fatisfie themselves that they pursue their Consciences, and yet for want of due care of informing themselves

themselves and judging aright, those very Actions may be milful and damnable Sine. Nothing now remains but to make Application of what hath been faid to our own Cafe. And that shall be to two forts of Persons, I. to those who continue in the

Communion of our Church, 2, to those who diff in from it.

1. To those who continue in the communion of our Church. Let us walk by the Jame Rule, and mind the fame things. Let us fludy the Unity and Peace, and thereby the Honour and Safety of it, While we keep to one Rule, all people know what it is to be of our Church; if men fet up their own fancies above the Rule, they charge it with imperfection; if they do not obey the Rule, they make themselves wifer than those that made it. It hath not been either the Dearing or Rules of our Church which have ever given advantage to the Enemies of it; but the indifcretion of fome in going beyond them; and the inconflancy of others in not holding to them.

Such is the Parity of its Doarine, fuch the Loyalty of its Principles , fuch the Wifdom, and Order, and Piety of its Devotions, that none who are true Friends to any of thele, can be eremies to it. Let us take heed we do not give too much occasion to our enemies to think the worfe of our Church for our fakes. It is easie to observe, that most quarrels relating to Conflitutions & Frames of Government are more against persons that things, when they are unsatisfied with their management, then they blame t e Government; but if themselves were in place, or those they love and esteem, then the Government is a good thing, if it be in good mens hands. Thus do mens judg-

And to as to Churches, we find Uniformity and Order condemned as tyrannical, till

ments vary as their interefts do.

to two

Q effi

men come into power themselves, and then the very same things and arguments are used and thought very good and substantial, which before were weak and sophisti-Answer? cal, Those who speak now most against the Magistrates power in matters of Religion had ten substantial Reasons for it, when they thought the Magistrate on their own fide. Those who now plead for Toleration, did once think it the Mother of Confu-: 25.1679. from, the Nurse of Ashe in the inlet of Popery, the common Sink of all Errors and Herefier. But, if there be not much to be faid against the Church s Constitution, then they are ready to lay load upon the persons of the Governours and Members of it; and chence pretend to a necessity of Separation for a purer Communion. Let us endeavour to remove this Objection, not by recrimination (which is too easie in such cases) but by living fuitably to our holy Religion, by reforming our own lives, and redressing (what in us lies) the Scandals and Diforders of others. Let us by the innocency and unblamesbleness of our lives, the life and constancy of our devotions, the meekness and gentlenels of our behaviour in our own Caufe, our Zeal and Courage in Gods, add a lafte to our Religion and bring others to a Love of our Church.

11. To those who diffent from our Communion. Whether they bear, or whether they roil for bear, I cannot dismiss this subject, without offering some things to them,

1. By way of Confideration, 2. By way of Advice.

(..) I shall offer these things to their Confideration.

They 1. Let them confider How many things must be born within the constitution of a ruled must confider, that the best policy or Constitution, so far as it is of mans regularing, bath defects and theconverier cer, and effairs will be complicated; and therefore they must not be too unyielding, but bear with with the tole able, and not eafily remediable. Corbett of the found Brate of Religion, P 75. 1679.

Church; which cannot be expected in this World to be without Spot or Wink'e. And if men will fet themselves only to find faults, it is impossible, in this state of things, they should ever be pleased. And if they separate where they see any thing amifs, they must follow his example who pursued this Principle to far, till he with- Ball adrew from all Society left he should communicate with them in their Sin 3 in which gain't condition he continued till his Children lay dead in the house, and he became ut. Can pais terly unable to help himself, and because no humane inventions were to be allowed about the worship of God, he had cut out of his Bible the Contents of the Chapters, and Titles of the Leaves, and so left the bare Text, without Binding or Covers. This is the Case the rigid and impracticable principles of some would bring our Churches to, by cutting off all Rules of Order and Decency, as encroachments on the Inflitutions of Christ.

2. I defire them to confider bow impeffible it is to give fatisfaction to all, and bow many things must be allowed a favourable interpretation in publick Constitutions and General Laws; which it is hardly possible so to frame, but there will be room left for Cavils and Exceptions. Yes When the mifest and best men have done their ut most. A difsome of themselves confess, there may be diffatisfallienstill; and if Christian Humility ; the Reli-Charity and Discretion, will then advise persons to acquiefce in their private security and gion of freedem, and not to unfettle the publick Order for their private fatisfaction. Why thould England not men practife the fame vertues themselves; which they do confess, will be necessa- in in due ry for some at last?

Wife and good men will consider the difficulties that alwayes attend publick Sca, 19 Establishments; and have that esteem for Peaceand Oredr, that they will bear with

any thing tolerable for the fake of it. It is a very hard case with a Church when men fall fet their Wits to ftrain every thing to the worst sense, to stretch Laws beyond the intention and defign of them, to gather together all the doubtful and obscure passages in Calendars, Translations, &c. and will not diftinguish between their approbation of the Use and of the Choice of things, for upon such tearms as these men think to juffifie the present Divisions. I much queftion, whether if they proceed in such a manner, they canhold Communion with any Church inthe Christian world. If men be disposed to find faults, no Church can be pure enoughfor some-

dec. Sell. 14. Printed 1667. thing will be amis either in Doll ine, or Discipline, or Ceremonies, or Manners ; but if they be disposed to Peace and Union, then Charity will cover a multitude of failings; See Baxe and then according to S. Paul's advice, with all towliness, & meekness, with long-suf- of Division fering, forbearing one another in Love, they we Il be endeavouring to preferre the Unity ons p. of the Spirit in the bond of Peace. And without the practice of the former Vertues, 36 4.

no Metaphyfical Discourses of Unity, will fignifie any thing to the Churches Peace. Eph 4. 3. They would do well to confider, How Separation of the People from our Churches 2. 3. comes to be more lawful now, than in the days of our Fathers. It hath been often and evidently proved, that the most sober and learned Non-conformist of former times, not- Papers withstanding their scruples in some points, yet utterly condemned Separation from our Chur- of Acebes as unlawful. And they looked upon this, not as a meer common fin of humane in- commofirmity, but 28 2 wilful and dangerous fin ; in that it is fo far from tending to the e- dation. veribron p. 52.

Lat rude,

Such is the complicated condition of humane affairs, that it is excee. ding difficuit to devile a Rule or Modell that shall provide for all whom Equity will plead for. Thereore the prudent and fober will acquiefce in any confliurion that is in some good fort proportic nable to the ends of Government. A Dif: course of the Religion of England ,

overships of Antichrift, that it upholds and maintains him; calling it a renting the Ball ge Church, the difgrace of Religion, the advancement of Pride, Schifm and Contention, the gainft Offence of the Weak, the grief of the godly, who be better fettled, the hardening of the wick-Can: Pref p.2ed, and the recovery or rising again of Antichristianism; nay, even persecuting the Lord Fefus in his Hoaft, which they revile in his ordinances, which they dishonour; and in his fervants whose tootsteps they flunder, whise Graces they despise, whose Office they trample upon with disdain. These are the very words of one of the most learned and judicious Non-conformists before the Wars. And surely the mis hiefs that follow-

ed after could not make Separation to appear less odious.

at this day, do believe it to be a fin for the people to separate, or they do not: If not, it must either be, that there are new and harder terms of Communion, which were not then; which is fo far from being true, that they confess them to be rather eafier for the people : or it must be, that they are gone off from the peaceable principles of their Predecesfors, which they are unwilling to own. If they do believe it to be a fin, why do they suffer the people to live in a known Sin? Why do they encourage them by preaching in separate Congregations? For their Predecessors did not Bradfhaw think it lawful, much less a duty, to preach when forbidden by a Law : neither did they understand what warrant any ordinary Minister hath in such a case by Gods word. Johnson. So to draw any Church or People to his private Ministery in opposition to the Laws and Go-S. 40. 91 vernment be lived under. They understood the difference between the Apostles cases and theirs; and never thought the Apostles Woe be unto me if I treach not the Gospel, did wholeArmour of extend to them; but thought that filenced Minifers ought to live as private Members of the God p. Church till they mere restored, and the people bound to hear others. Of which there can be far less ground to dispute, when themselves acknowledge the Doffrine by Law

Was it a fin ? was it fuch a fin then? And is it none now? Either our Brethren

established to be true and found.

against

570.

4. Laftly, Let me befeech them to confider the common danger that threatens us Nething that I all by means of our Divisions. We have Adversaries subtle and industrious enough to know of make use of all advantages to serve their own ends; and there is scarce any other in the they promise themselves more from, than the continuance of these breaches among world. our selves: This some of our Brethren themselves have been aware of; and on that doth fo Brongly account have told the People of the danger of the Perinciples of Separation, as to the tempt interest of Religion in general, and the Protestant Religion in particular among us. tome fo-

ber conscenitious men to think Popery necessary for the concord of Churches, and a violent Church Governa ment necessary to our peace, as the woful experience of the Errors and Schistes . the mad and manifold Sects.

that arife among those that are most against them. Baxters last Answ, to Bagfhaw p. 30.

You little know what a pernicious design the Devil hath upon you, in perswading you to desire and en-Leavour to pull down the interest of Christ and Religion, which is upheld in the parish Churches of this Land : and to think that it is best to bring them as low in reality or reputation as you can, and to contract the Religions interest all into private meetings Id. p. 31. n. 25.

Certainly, nothing would tend more to our common fecurity than for all true and fincere Protestants to lay aside their prejudices, and mistakes, and to joyn heartily in Communion with us: which many of their Teachers at this day allow to be lawful. And how can they satisfie themselves in hazarding our Religion by not doing that, which themselves confess lawful to be done?

(2,) But if we are not yet ripe for fo great a me cy as a perfect Union, yet I would

intreat our Brethren to make way for it by hearkening to these following Advices.

1. Not to give encouragement to rash and intemperate zeal; which rends all in pieces, and makes reconciliation impossible. Those who see least into things, are usually the hercest contenders about them: and such eager Disputants are fitter to make quarrels than to end them; for they can be contentious for Peace-Jake, and make new differe ences about the ways of Unity. Wildom and lobriety, a good jud ement, a prudent temper, and freedom from prejudice will tend more to end our differences, than warm Debates, and long Difputations; which as Greg. Nazianzen faid once of Councils, feldem have had any good end. But there is a more fiery fort of zeal, & more dangerous than this; which may he smothering for a time, till it meets with suitable matter and a freer vent, and then it breaks out into a dieadful flame. already feen such dismal effects of in this age, that we should think there were less need to give men caution against it again, were it not to be feared, that where Reason cannot prevail, Experience will not. All that we can fay to fuch persons that may be like to move them, is, that if their blind zeal transport them, as it did Samplon, to pull down the House over their Heads, they will be fure to perill it emselves in the fall of it; but here will lie the great difference of the cafe, while they and their Friends perith together, the Philiftims without will rejoyce to make the others infiruments to execute their defigns.

People had suffered so much since the days of Dioclesian; whereas the severity of Laws hath been tempered with so much gentlenes in the execution of them, that others have as much complained of Indulgence, as they of Persecution. It doth not look like the Pasience, and Hamility, and Meekness of the Primitive Christians, to make such noise and outcries of their suffering so much, when they would have been rather thankful that they suffered no more. Is this the way to Peace, to represent their case still to the world in an exasperating & provoking manner? Is this the way to incline their Governours to more condescension, to represent them to the People as an Itbacian persecuting Party? Where are the Prisilians that have been put to death by their instigation? What do such intimuations mean, but that our Bishops are the followers of Itbacius and Idacius in their cruelty; and they of the good and meek Bishop S. Martin, who resused Communion with them on that account? If men do en ertain such kind thoughts of themselves, and such hard thoughts of their Superiors, whatever

they plead for they haven inclination to Peace.

3. Not to condemn others for that which themselves have practised, and think to be lemful in their own cases. What outeries have some made against the Church of England,
as Cruel and Tyrannical, for expecting and requiring Uniformity? And yet do not
such men, even at this day, contend for the Obligation of a Covenant, which binds Judg 1.7.
men to endeavour after uniformity in D. Etime, Discipline and Worship? But they want see
the ingenuity of Adonibezek, to reseat on the thumbs and the toes, whi b they have out Clarks
off from others; and think themselves bound to do it again, if it were in their power. Nation
Who could have been thought more moderate in this way, than those who went tive of
upon the principles of the differting Breibren? And yet we are assured, that even in
New England, when their own Church-way was by Law established among them, persecuthey made it no less than Banish nent for the Anabaptists to set up other Churches among tion A D
them or for any secretly to seduce others from the Approbation and use of Insant-battism. 1651.

And how they have fince proceeded with the Quakers, is very well known. Nay, even these, notwithstanding the single Independency of every mans light within him, have sound it necessary to make Rules and Orders among themselves to govern their Societies, to which they expect an uniform Obedience; and allow no Liberty out of the See S. a. Power and the Trush; as they love to speak. From all which it appears, the true most she controversie is not about the Reasonableness of Uniformity; but who shall have the Hat p. power of prescribing the Rules of it. Is it not now a very hard case, that the Church of England must be loaded with bitter reproaches, and exposed to the common hatred of all Parties for the sake of that, which every one of them would practise if it

were in their Power; and think it very juffifiable to to do?

4. Not to inflame the peoples heats, by making their differences with the Church of England to appear to be greater than they are. Let them deal honefly and faithfully with them, by letting them understand that they look on our Churches as true Churches, and occasional Communion at least with them to be lawful: (and it is hard to une sterstand, if occasional Communion be lawful, that constant Communion should not be a Duty.) This were the way to abate mens great prejudices, and to soften their Spirits, and to prepare them for a closer Union. But if instead of this, they endeavour to darke 1 and consound things, and cast mists before their eyes, that they cannot see their way clearly before them; all understanding men will conclude, they prefer some little interests of their own, before the honour of Christ and the Peace of his Church.

- 5. Not to harbour or foment unreasonable jealousies and suspitions in Peoples mindt concerning us, This hath been one of the most successful arts of keeping up the diflance and prejudices that have been to great among us, viz. by private whilpers, by false suggestions, by idle storie, by unreasonable interpretation of words beyond the intention and delign of those who spake them. By such devices as these, great mischief hath been done among us, and I am much afraid, is doing fill. For nothing fets men at a greater distance from our Church, than the apprehending that we are not hearty and fincere in the Protestant Cause: which although it be a most groundless and malicious calumny, yet there have been some, who have had so litthe regard to Conscience or common ingennity, as not only to charge particular Perfort, but our Church it felf with Marching towards Popery. What injuffice, what uncharitablenes, what impudence is it, to fasten such an imputation is it, upon a Chueb that hath hitherto continued (and long may it do fo) the chief Bulmark of the Protestant Cause? Little do such persons consider, how much they serve the design of our enemies, who cannot but be mightily please fed to find their most formidable adversaries represented to the People as their Secret Friends.
- 6. Not to run the hazard of all for a shew of greater Liberty to themselver. For under this pretence our Adversaries endeavour to make them their Instruments to bring upon our Nocks a Tike which neither we nor our Fathers were able to bear. An universal Theration is that Trijan Horse, which brings in our enemies withour being seen, and which after a long Siege they hope to bring in at latituder the pretence of setting our Gates wide enough open, to let in all our friends.

And then think with your felves what advantages they will have above others;

confidering some mens coldness and indifferency in Religion; others uncertainty and running from one extreme to another; others easiness in being drawn away by the hopes and feares of this world; which have a wonderful influence upon changing mens opinions, even when they do not think it themselves. So that those seem very little to understand mankind, who do not apprehend the dangerous consequences of a general Toleraton.

Those who pretend there is no danger, because by this means the Filly of their Religion will be exposed, do not consider what a catching disease folly is; and how natural it is for menthat are fanciful in Religion to exchange one folly for another. If a'l men were wife and fober in Religion, there would need no Toleration; if they are not, we must suppose, if they had what they wished, they would do as might be expected from men wanting Wisdom and Sobriety, i.e. All the several Parties would be firlying and contending with each other, which should be uppermost, and gain the greatest interest.

And what would the fruit of all fuch contentions be, but endless disputes, and Andveexposing the follies of one another, till at last Religion it fell be funk into the greatill keep tell contempt; or men, through meer weariness of contending, be willing even up the to Submit to Papal Tyranny, because it pretends to some kind of Unity?

papifis

by an Universal Toleration, they may at last come in one equal Terms with you, or by countvence, be endured as much as you. And if they be equal in England with you, their transmarine advantages will make them more than equal notwithstanding their disadvantages in their cause, and their contratiety to Kingly Intereft, Baxters laft Aniw. to Bagfhaw. p. 31

So that, upon the whole matter, if we would confult the Honour of God and Religion, the Peace and Tranquillity of the Church we live in; if we would prevent the great Defigns of our enemies, and leave the Protestant Religion here established to Posterity, we ought to follow the Apostles Advice, in walking by the same Rule, and in minding the same things.

FINIS.